UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/573,018	11/21/2006	Everest Barjau Delgado	21879-00065-US1	2593	
	7590 08/25/200 OVE LODGE & HUT	EXAMINER			
1875 EYE STR SUITE 1100	EET, N.W.	CRUZ, MAGDA			
WASHINGTON	N, DC 20006	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2851		
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			08/25/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Д	pplication No.	,	Applicant(s)			
			10/573,018		DELGADO, EVEREST BARJAU			
		E	xaminer		Art Unit			
			MAGDA CRUZ		2851			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	nication appea	rs on the cover shee	t with the co	rrespondence ac	idress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE IN THE INSTRUCTION OF THE INSTRUC	MAILING DATI s of 37 CFR 1.136(a munication. tatutory period will a y will, by statute, cau	E OF THIS COMMU 1). In no event, however, ma 1) upply and will expire SIX (6) If use the application to become	JNICATION. ay a reply be time MONTHS from the ABANDONED	ly filed ne mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on <i>22 Marc</i>	ch 2006					
2a)□	•		ction is non-final.					
3)		<i>′</i> —		natters nros	ecution as to the	e merits is		
٥/ك	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	·	ioo arraor Ex p	sarre quayre, 1000 (0.2,	7 0.0. 210.			
· ·	on of Claims							
-	Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.							
7)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or e	lection requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)🖂	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.						
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on 22 March 20	0 <u>06</u> is/are∶ a)[☐ accepted or b)⊠	objected to	by the Examine	r.		
<i>,</i> —	10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 March 2006</u> is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☑ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) includin		÷ , ,	-	` '	FR 1.121(d).		
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
(۵	_ ·_ ·_	/ documents h	ave been received					
	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 							
	application from the Internation	•		sen received	i iii tiiis Nationai	Stage		
* 0	See the attached detailed Office action			not roccived				
	see the attached detailed Office action	on lor a list of	ine certined copies i	not received				
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application								
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Other:								

Art Unit: 2851

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

- 1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference characters "C", "E", "F" and "D" have been used to designate the "Electronic and Software Elements" (see claims 1-8 and 11). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 2. In addition to Replacement Sheets containing the corrected drawing figure(s), applicant is required to submit a marked-up copy of each Replacement Sheet including annotations indicating the changes made to the previous version. The marked-up copy must be clearly labeled as "Annotated Sheets" and must be presented in the amendment or remarks section that explains the change(s) to the drawings. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)(1). Failure to timely submit the proposed drawing and marked-up copy will result in the abandonment of the application.

Art Unit: 2851

Specification

3. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to <u>a single</u> <u>paragraph</u> on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Claim Objections

4. Claims 1-11 are objected to because of the following informalities:

The claims are objected to because they include reference characters which are not enclosed within parentheses.

Reference characters corresponding to elements recited in the detailed description of the drawings and used in conjunction with the recitation of the same element or group of elements in the claims should be enclosed within parentheses so

Art Unit: 2851

as to avoid confusion with other numbers or characters which may appear in the claims. See MPEP § 608.01(m).

Appropriate correction is required.

- 5. Claims 1-8 and 11 are objected to because of the following informalities:
 - a. Regarding claims 1-8 and 11, reference characters "C", "E", "F" and "D" have been used to designate the "Electronic and Software Elements". Applicant is reminded of using a consistent terminology throughout the application.
 - b. Regarding claim 1, line 6, there is a spelling error in the word "physcial". Said word should be replaced by -- physical --. Also, it says "stereoscopic screen in transparent". The examiner believes that the applicant meant "stereoscopic screen is transparent".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
 - a. Claims 1-8 and 11 recites the limitation "Electronic and Software Elements". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Art Unit: 2851

b. Claims 1 and 3 recites the limitation "3D Optical Subsystem". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

- c. Claim 3 recites the limitation "optional Optical Geometric Variations" in line
- 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- d. Claim 5 recites the limitation "3D Multifunctional System" in lines 2-3.

 There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- e. Claim 9 recites the limitation "additional G functional devices" in lines 2-3.

 There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- f. Claim 10 recites the limitation "H case" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- g. Claim 11 recites the limitations "computer operating system", "software to administrate and operate databases and processes" and "computer software and hardware elements" in lines 6-7. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 9. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Vrachan et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/573,018

Art Unit: 2851

Vrachan et al. (US Patent Number 6,808,268 B2) disclose:

Regarding claim 1, 3-D image projection system characterized by the
Electronic and Software Elements (i.e. software development tools),
combined with the 3D Optical Subsystem built by a stereoscopic screen
(column 3, lines 35-41), so that images can be viewed in daylight, with an
immersion effect, suspended in the air, as real volumes in third dimension
and without a visual aid (column 2, lines 50-52). The stereoscopic screen
(Figure 6, element 182) is transparent, with certain physical properties and
may has certain inclination and bend, according to the geometric optical
variations required (column 15, lines 4-7).

Page 6

- Regarding claim 2, the Electronic and Software Elements (i.e. software
 development tools) variations used to reproduce two-dimensional images
 that are selected from any possible combination among projection
 systems and media storage systems (column 12, lines 41-44).
- Pegarding claim 3, the optional Optical Geometric Variations (i.e. polarizers, mirrors, antireflective film) which can also be combined with the Electronic and Software Elements (i.e. software development tools) and the 3D Optical Subsystem (Figure 2, element 120) for allowing the use of optical geometric variations, including additional optical lenses, mirrors or secondary screens (Figure 2, elements 126, 127, 128 and 129) between the projection screen and the stereoscopic screen (Figure 2, element 154), for different applications and requirements (column 9, lines 46-54).

Application/Control Number: 10/573,018

Art Unit: 2851

Regarding claim 4, the Electronic and Software Elements to built an
 Operation and Projection Schedule and a 3D Video-Library to control and
 project continuously different 3D messages in a period of time (column 6,
 lines 49-52), turn on and off the 3D System (i.e. observer's/user's input)
 and report the operation status (i.e. tracking a particular sequence; column
 4, lines 66-67).

Page 7

- Regarding claim 5, the Electronic and Software Elements (i.e. software
 development tools) that allows the 3D Multifunctional System to be
 controlled from a Central Unit (i.e. computer) through an Operation and
 Projection Schedule and a 3D Video-Library (column 6, lines 49-52).
- Regarding claim 6, the Electronic and Software Elements (i.e. software
 development tools) that control, administrate, supervise and operate the
 system from a Central Unit (i.e. computer) through a telecommunications
 solution (column 7, lines 46-49).
- Regarding claim 7, the Electronic and Software Elements (i.e. software
 development tools, which is part of the computer) that control,
 administrate, supervise and operate the system in situ (column 6, lines 4649).
- Regarding claim 8, the Electronic and Software Elements (i.e. software
 development tools) to enhance the global effect of 3D images projection,
 such as back plane screens, video or camera recorders or interactive

Art Unit: 2851

functions based on presence sensors, among many others (column 4, lines 33-36).

- Regarding claim 9, one or several of the additional functional devices, such as a printed information distribution system; queue ticket dispenser; public or private telephones (column 4, lines 47-50); weighing system; optical reading system; form dispenser with support table and pen; vending machines; automatic ticketing and payment systems; handheld, PDA (column 14, line 6), computer or other electronic devices that interact to load and download information (column 14, lines 12-13); any other equivalent solution that may be required in a public or private area where people tend to congregate in groups or individually (column 14, lines 21-23).
- Regarding claim 10, hardware and software components (elements 120, 122 and 124) to set up the operation of the system under different environments and climatic conditions (column 5, lines 24-27).
- Regarding claim 11, internal or external media storage and/or specific image projection devices and their interfaces (column 4, lines 53-55); the computer operating system (element 108); the software to administrate and operate databases and processes (column 4, lines 59-62); the computer software and hardware elements (column 3, lines 35-41).

Art Unit: 2851

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Larussa (US Patent Number 6,318,868 B1) discloses an interactive virtual image display device.

Krause (US Patent Number 6,364,490 B1) teaches a virtual image projection device.

Sullivan et al. (US Pub. No. 2002/0113752 A1) show a multi-planar volumetric display system and method of operation.

Westort et al. (US Patent Number 6,598,976 B2) disclose a method and apparatus for image enhancement.

Westort et al. (US Patent Number 6,612,701 B2) teach an image enhancement in a real image projection system.

Yoshino (US Pub. No. 2004/0027450 A1) shows three dimensional image generators.

Westort et al. (US Patent Number 6,733,140 B2) teach a transmission enhancement for a real image projection system.

Hines (US Patent Number 6,817,716 B1) discloses aerial-image display systems.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MAGDA CRUZ whose telephone number is (571)272-2114. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 8:00-5:30 PM.

Art Unit: 2851

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Diane Lee can be reached on (571) 272-2399. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/MC/ Patent Examiner

August 24, 2009

/Diane I Lee/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2851